Case 5:19-cv-01432 Document 1 Filed 12/10/19 Page 1 of 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

RICKY MARHSALL PLAINTIFF

vs. No. 5:19-cv-1432

HOSSLEY LIGHTING ASSOCIATES, INC.

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff Ricky Marshall ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorney Josh Sanford of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for his Original Complaint against Defendant Hossley Lighting Associates, Inc., he does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action individually against Defendant for violation of the

Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act, 38 U.S.C. § 4301, et

seq. (the "USERRA"), and seeks equitable relief, compensatory damages, lost benefits

and promotion, liquidated damages, and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The United States District Court for the Western District of Texas has

subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331

because this suit raises federal questions under the USERRA.

3. The acts complained of in this Complaint were committed and had their

principal effect against Plaintiff within the Western District of Texas; therefore, venue is

proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

Page 1 of 5
Ricky Marshall v. Hossley Lighting Associates, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (W.D. Tex.) Case No. 5:19-cv-1432
Original Complaint

4. Defendants conduct business in this District and a substantial part of the events alleged in this Complaint occurred in this District.

5. Upon information and belief, the witnesses to the USERRA violations

alleged in this Complaint reside in this District.

6. Upon information and belief, the employment and payroll records and

other documents are located in this District.

III. THE PARTIES

7. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

8. Plaintiff is an individual and resident of Bexar County.

9. Defendant is a Texas for-profit corporation, and it may receive service of

process through its registered agent Paul Near at 1202 Dragon Street, Suite 100,

Dallas, Texas 75207.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

11. Defendant maintains a website at https://hlalighting.com which describes

its business.

12. According to Defendants' website, its

goal is to provide our clients throughout the design and construction

process with an unprecedented consistency of service across two-thirds of the state of Texas. Our product offering, which ranges from budget driven fixtures to signature custom luminaires, provides the broadest offering of

lighting fixtures and lighting technology available for building interiors, exteriors, and site landscaping. Combining these luminaire solutions with

state of-the-art control systems allows Hossley to be your complete lighting and controls technology partner. Our commitment is to deliver the

Page 2 of 5
Ricky Marshall v. Hossley Lighting Associates, Inc.

best and most professional level of value-added service available by operating with courtesy, integrity, responsiveness, transparency, and dependability. This philosophy has been with Hossley throughout its years and remains the cornerstone of the Company's philosophy today.

https://hlalighting.com/about-south-texas (accessed Dec. 5, 2019).

13. Plaintiff began work for Defendant in 2016 and was terminated in

November of 2019.

14. Plaintiff's job title was Lighting Control Specialist, and his main duties

involved outside sales of lighting solutions to Defendant's customers.

15. In June of 2018, Plaintiff learned that he would be leaving for military duty

in either November of 2018 or June of 2019.

16. In June of 2018, Plaintiff and Defendant conferred and agreed that the

November of 2018 date would be more convenient for all parties.

17. In late November of 2018, Plaintiff reported for military duty.

18. Plaintiff was absent from work due to military service until early March of

2019.

19. In November of 2019, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment, citing

poor sales numbers for the year.

20. Defendant did not account for Plaintiff's time away in calculating his sales

numbers. In other words, Defendant unfairly compared Plaintiff's sales to those of

employees who had been working during the time Plaintiff was absent due to military

service.

21. Plaintiff was told by multiple former supervisors that Defendant fired him

because of his military service.

22. Defendants knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way they

treated Plaintiff's employment status violated the USERRA.

V. CLAIM FOR RELIEF—Violation of the USERRA

23. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this

Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

24. Plaintiff would not have been terminated by Defendants but for his military

service.

25. Defendant's termination of Plaintiff was a refusal to accommodate Plaintiff

after he provided proper notice of his need for a furlough or leave time during his

military obligations.

26. Defendants' conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

27. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendants are liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including a reasonable

attorney's fee, as provided by the USERRA.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Ricky Marshall, individually and on

behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays as follows:

A. That Defendant be summoned to appear and answer herein;

B. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violated

the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act, 38 U.S.C. § 4301,

et seg., and attendant regulations;

C. Judgment for back wages, lost benefits and other benefits under the

Page 4 of 5
Ricky Marshall v. Hossley Lighting Associates, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (W.D. Tex.) Case No. 5:19-cv-1432
Original Complaint

USESSA;

- D. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the USERRA and attendant regulations;
 - E. That this matter be set for a jury trial; and
 - F. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

PLAINTIFF RICKY MARSHALL

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford Road, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

/s/ Josh Sanford
Josh Sanford
Tex. Bar No. 24077858
josh@sanfordlawfirm.com